



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/599,999      | 06/23/2000  | Steven Andrew Cover  | 204006              | 8986             |

23460 7590 12/03/2003

LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD  
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900  
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE  
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780

EXAMINER

LUU, SY D

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2174

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                                      |                                     |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/599,999 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>COVER ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Sy D Luu          | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2174             |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sy D Luu (Examiner). (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Scott Schulhoff (Attorney). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 01 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Netscape Communicator v 4.61 and Prizm Plug-in 2.1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**SY D. LUU**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed on the rejections of the claims in light of the above applied art. It was agreed that the Examiner's will withdraw the Finality of the previous Action, pending on a formal request for reconsideration by Applicant. A new office action would then follow with the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103 rather than the previous 35 USC 102. The reason being that, while Prizm being a Plug-in program and thus could be considered as a single reference once incorporated into Netscape Communicator, Prizm could still be considered as a separate product from Netscape Communicator, and thus a rejection under 35 USC 103 would be inclined towards being more proper.